



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

72

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,234	04/06/2001	Tae-Shin Park	0136/OJ067	3081
7278	7590	11/14/2006	EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			TUNG, JOYCE	
P. O. BOX 5257			ART UNIT	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/807,234

Applicant(s)

PARK ET AL.

Examiner

Joyce Tung

Art Unit

1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12-25,27,29 and 31-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-25,27,29 and 31-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 39-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant's response filed 8/21/06 to the Office action has been entered. Claims 12-25, 27, 29 and 31-40 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of election of claims 39-40 with the combination of probes represented by SEQ ID NO:s 1-19 in the reply filed on 8/21/06 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 12-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/21/06.

3. Claims 25, 27, 29 and 31-38 are also withdrawn from further consideration because claims 25, 27, 29 and 31-38 are drawn to a method for diagnosis of HPV infection in which the claims recite the limitation "a DNA chip comprising a combination of a least two different HPV nucleic acid sequence probes selecting from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1-19". Thus, claims 25, 27, 29 and 31-38 are not examined at this time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 1637

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meijer et al. (6352,825, issued March 5, 2002), in view of Stewart et al. (Journal of Virology, 1996, Vol. 70(5), Buck et al. (BioTechniques, 1999, Vol. 27(3), pg. 528-536), Day et al. (Biochem. J., 1990, Vol. 267, pg. 119-123) and Lukhtanov et al. (6,339,147, issued October 15, 2002)

Meijer et al. disclose HPV type-specific oligonucleotide probe for the detection of HPV. The probes as listed are identical to SEQ ID NOs: 1-11 and 13-19 of the instant claims (See column 9, lines 5-67), for example, SEQ ID NO: 31, specific for HPV-16; SEQ ID NO: 32, specific for HPV-18; SEQ ID NO: 34, specific for HPV-31; SEQ ID NO: 35, specific for HPV-33; SEQ ID NO: 37, specific for HPV-35; SEQ ID NO: 38, specific for HPV-39; SEQ ID NO: 43, specific for HPV-45; SEQ ID NO: 44, specific for HPV-51; SEQ ID NO 45, specific for HPV-52; SEQ ID NO: 47, specific for HPV-56; SEQ ID NO: 48, specific for HPV-58; SEQ ID NO: 51, specific for HPV-66; SEQ ID NO: 29, specific for HPV-6; SEQ ID NO: 30, specific for HPV-11; SEQ ID NO: 36, specific for HPV-34; SEQ ID NO: 39, specific for HPV-40; SEQ ID NO: 40, specific for HPV-42 and SEQ ID NO: 42, specific for HPV-44 are respectively identical to SEQ ID NO: 1-11 and 13-19 of the instant claims.

Meijer et al. do not disclose any oligonucleotide probe, which is identical to SEQ ID NO: 12 in the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1637

Stewart et al. disclose the study of intratype human papillomavirus (HPV) sequence variation in a worldwide collection of cervical specimens (See pg. 3127, the abstract). Based upon the nucleic acid search report, a variant of HPV-59 has been sequenced over the My09/11 consensus primer region. The sequence of HPV-59 has been submitted to Genbank in which Accession numbers U45930 to U45933 are to the HPV-59 sequences and SEQ ID NO: 12 is part of the sequence of HPV-59 (See pg. 3128, column 2, third paragraph and the attached nucleic acid search report).

None of the references above discloses choosing a nucleic acid probe from a well-known nucleic acid for a specific detection.

Buck et al. disclose how to make and use numerous successful primers from a known nucleic acid sequence (See pg. 528, the Abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply the HPV type-specific oligonucleotide probes of Meijer et al. which are identical to SEQ ID NO: 1-11 and 13-19 on a chip for the diagnosis of HPV because as disclosed by Meijer et al. the oligonucleotide probes are specific for the detection of HPV (See column 9, lines 5-67). Moreover one of ordinary skill in the art would have also been motivated to make probes including SEQ ID NO: 12 from the known nucleic acid sequence of HPV-59 as disclosed by Stewart et al. because Buck et al. disclosed that numerous primers generated from different regions of a target sequence all worked well in amplification reactions. Thus, such primers would have been expected to work in the combined method of Meijer et al. It would have been prima facie obvious to use SEQ ID NO: 1-19 for detecting HPV.

Meijer et al. do not disclose that the primer is biotin labeled in detecting HPV.

Art Unit: 1637

Day et al. disclose the method of incorporation of biotin into the polymerase chain reaction products for the detection of the amplified DNA (See pg. 1990, column 1, second paragraph). The method applies the 5' biotinylated primer or biotin 16-dUTP to label the amplified products (See pg. 1990, column 1, second paragraph). The method also used second label, which is streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase (See pg. 1990, column 1, second paragraph)

Meijer et al. do not disclose that a DNA chip comprising probes having an HPV nucleic acid sequence attached to a glass slide.

Lukhtanov et al. disclose that the derivatized oligonucleotides are coupled to a solid support (See the Abstract). The invention is used for the capture and detection of nucleic acids using oligonucleotide attached to glass surfaces in array format (See column 7, lines 41-47). The oligonucleotide contains a nucleophilic amino group while the solid support contains aldehyde to form an Schiff base-type covalent linkage that attached the oligonucleotide to the solid support alternatively (See column 8, lines 27-37 and column 14, lines 15-19). Lukhtanov et al. also discuss the density of the oligonucleotides on the array (See column 14, lines 29-30) and derivatization of glass slides and preparation of oligonucleotide arrays on the glass slides (See column 23, lines 15-54).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the method of Meijer et al. by using biotinylated primer for detecting HPV as taught by Day et al. because the method of Day et al. does not lose the amplification efficiency (See pg. 119, the Abstract) and by using the second label, streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase in sandwich assay (See pg. 1990, column 1, second paragraph), the assay does not need for separate labeled probe currently required in conventional sandwich assays. It would have been prima facie obvious to apply the

Art Unit: 1637

biotinylated primer in PCR reaction for the diagnosis of HPV on the DNA chip with SEQ ID NO: 1-19.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the method of Meijer et al. by using a glass slide which has nucleic acid probes attached for the diagnosis of HPV infection as taught by Lukhtanov et al. because the array of Lukhtanov is via a Schiff base type bond formed between an NH_2 group attached either to the solid support or the oligonucleotide and an aromatic aldehyde attached to the other of the solid support and the oligonucleotide (See the Abstract) in which the Schiff base with aromatic-aldehyde bonds is stable, high percentage of oligonucleotide is contained on the solid support, specific attachment at either the 5' - or 3' - end is achieved and high coupling densities are obtained on unit surface (See column 4, lines 25-37). It would have been prima facie obvious to make the DNA chip with SEQ ID NO: 1-19 as probes attached to the glass slide for the diagnosis of HPV.

Summary


6. No claims are allowed.
7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (571) 272-0790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joyce Tung
November 7, 2006


KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/8/06